Two Bioluminescent Diptera: The North American *Orfelia fultoni* and the Australian *Arachnocampa flava*. Similar Niche, Different Bioluminescence Systems†
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**ABSTRACT**

*Orfelia fultoni* is the only bioluminescent dipteran (Mycetophilidae) found in North America. Its larvae live on stream banks in the Appalachian Mountains. Like their Australasian relative *Arachnocampa* spp., they build sticky webs to which their bioluminescence attracts flying prey. They bear two translucent lanterns at the extremities of the body, histologically distinct from the single caudal lantern of *Arachnocampa* spp., and emit the bluest bioluminescence recorded for luminescent insects (λmax = 460 nm versus 484 nm from *Arachnocampa*). A preliminary characterization of these two bioluminescent systems indicates that they are markedly different. In *Orfelia* a luciferin–luciferase reaction was demonstrated by mixing a hot extract prepared with dithiothreitol (DTT) under argon with a crude cold extract. Bioluminescence is not activated by adenosine triphosphate (ATP) but is strongly stimulated by DTT and ascorbic acid. Using gel filtration, we isolated a luciferase fraction of ~140 kDa and an additional high molecular weight fraction (possibly a luciferin-binding protein) that activated bioluminescence in the presence of luciferase and DTT. The *Arachnocampa* luciferin–luciferase system involves a 36 kDa luciferase and a luciferin soluble in ethyl acetate under acidic conditions; the bioluminescence is activated by ATP but not by DTT. The present findings indicate that the bioluminescence of *O. fultoni* constitutes a novel bioluminescent system unrelated to that of *Arachnocampa*.

**INTRODUCTION**

Among dipters, bioluminescence has been reported only in Mycetophilidae (fungus gnats). Harvey listed three genera, *Arachnocampa*, *Orfelia* (previously Platypura) and *Keroplatus* (1). Of these, *Arachnocampa* is most widely known for the brilliant displays its larvae create on the roofs of caves in New Zealand and Australia. The almost unknown *Orfelia* of North America, discovered by Fulton only in 1941 (2), shares many of the outward characteristics of *Arachnocampa*. At first glance, the two brownish larvae look much alike, being on average 10–20 mm long and 1–2 mm in diameter. To catch prey, both build remarkable webs in similar environments, such as crevices on damp stream banks (3); but unlike *Arachnocampa*, *Orfelia* is seldom found on the roofs of caves and does not use “fishing lines” as does *Arachnocampa*. Both *Arachnocampa* and *Orfelia* are carnivorous, even cannibalistic; in spite of the name, there is little indication that fungi are part of the diets of either of these gnats.

Here, however, the similarities end. The bioluminescence of *Orfelia* is blue, and that of *Arachnocampa* is blue-green. The light-emitting organs are different, *Arachnocampa* has only one caudal lantern derived from the malpighian tubules (4), whereas *Orfelia* larvae have very unusual, bilateral, anterior and posterior lanterns (2). They are characterized by two rows of black bodies consisting of large binucleated cells filled with dark granules, seemingly budding out of mitochondria (5). This report also suggested that the chemistry of the bioluminescence of *Orfelia* differed from that of *Arachnocampa* in at least one significant aspect: adenosine triphosphate (ATP) enhances the luminescence of crude extracts of *Arachnocampa* (6,7), whereas it has no effect on that of *Orfelia* (5).

Considering the well-known dependence of the bioluminescence of Coleoptera on ATP (8), the difference between *Arachnocampa* and *Orfelia* with respect to ATP seemed significant and intriguing. Our results support the conclusion that the chemistries of bioluminescence of *Orfelia fultoni* and *Arachnocampa flava* from Australia are distinct; there are no cross-reactions of enzymes or substrates.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents.** Coenzyme A (CoA), dithiothreitol (DTT), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), t-luciferin, ATP, reduced flavin mononucleotide (FMNH2), ascorbic acid, glutathione, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (reduced form) (NADH), carbonic anhydrase,
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bovine serum albumin, alcohol dehydrogenase, β-amylase, apoferritin, tyroglobulin and pyrophosphate were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Triton X-100 was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA), Sephacryl S-300 and S-400 from Pharmacia (Piscataway, NJ).

Insects. Orfelia fuloni larvae were collected from May through June in 1999, 2000 and 2001 at the Shenandoah National Park, (Virginia), from early April through August at the Highlands Biological Station (Highlands, NC), Cashiers (North Carolina) and Glenville (North Carolina), usually among moss on stream banks, in exposed cavities under stones along streams or under decaying logs. In Pickett State Park (Tennessee) they were found in May 2001 at the base of sandstone caves. Larvae were located at night by their bioluminescence and collected by hand; usually about 20–30 larvae per night. They were transferred to plastic jars with moist soil and moss from their habitat. Some larvae were kept alive for as long as 3 weeks on a diet of Drosophila. Most were immediately rinsed with water, transferred to Eppendorf tubes, frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored at −80°C. Arachnocampa flava larvae were collected at Springbrook National Park (Queensland, Australia) during spring and summer of 2000 and 2001, on damp banks similar to those rich in Orfelia but with a high density of trapdoor spiders; the larvae were shipped to our laboratory in liquid nitrogen.

Crude extracts. Crude extracts of Orfelia were usually prepared from 1–4 larvae in 1 mL of cold extraction buffer (0.1 M phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, pH 7.0) with a Potter–Elvesjem homogenizer. After homogenization the extracts were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatants were used for most of the routine assays, whereas the pellets were resuspended in extraction buffer or stored at −80°C. For Arachnocampa the crude extracts were prepared from 20 larval lanterns in 27 mL Tricine, 7 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}, 0.2 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol and 1% Triton X-100, pH 7.4 (9), and centrifuged as described for Orfelia.

In vitro assays. Bioluminescence intensities were determined in a custom-built photometer (10) calibrated with reference to the Hastings–Weber light standard (11). For in vitro bioluminescence assays of crude extracts of Orfelia, 5–10 μL of crude extract was added to 90–95 μL of assay buffer (0.1 M Tris–HCl buffer, pH 8.0). The effect of different compounds on bioluminescence activity was assayed by mixing 10 μL of the chosen compound and 10 μL of crude extract with 80 μL of assay buffer. Luciferase activity was determined by mixing 5 μL of hot extract with 95 μL of assay solution containing 10 μL of luciferase and 85 μL of assay buffer.

For the crude extract of Arachnocampa, the bioluminescence assays were carried out by mixing 10 μL of crude extract and 5 μL of 40 mM ATP–80 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4} with 85 μL of assay buffer (0.1 M Tris–HCl, pH 8). Luciferase assays were performed by mixing 5 μL of hot extract with a mixture containing 80 μL of assay buffer (pH 8) and 8 μL of luciferase and 5 μL of 40 mM ATP–80 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}. Luciferase–luciferin reaction. To test if the bioluminescence of Orfelia is the result of a luciferin–luciferase reaction, crude extract was prepared as described above. After centrifugation the supernatant was divided into two aliquots of 500 μL each. One aliquot was labeled as cold extract and kept on ice for 1 h or less. The other aliquot was treated with 10 mM DTT (final concentration), then purged with argon for 15 min and heated at 95°C for 5–10 min in the presence of argon; this was labeled the hot extract. The reaction was performed by mixing 10 μL of cold extract with 90 μL of 0.1 M Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) in a vial in the photometer sample compartment and then adding 10 μL of hot extract. In the case of Arachnocampa, crude extracts were prepared according to the method described by Wood (9). After centrifugation the supernatant was divided into two aliquots. The cold extract was prepared by adding 1 mM ATP to one of the aliquots (final concentration) and incubating on ice for 18 h. The hot extract was prepared by heating the second aliquot at 98°C for 5 min and adding ATP to a concentration of 1 mM. The test involving mixing equal amounts of cold and hot extracts resulted in light emission (9).

Glutathione (GSH) and NADH are reductants of some luciferases. The GSH content of Arachnocampa luciferin was determined by mixing homogenized hot extracts with a ratio of 0.1 to 0.5. The reaction was performed in the presence of GSH and luciferin at pH 6.5 (12). The reaction was initiated by the addition of either GSH or luciferase and the luciferase–luciferin reaction, and incubated on ice for 1 h. The reaction was performed by mixing 10 μL of cold extract with 90 μL of 0.1 M Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) in a vial in the photometer sample compartment and then adding 10 μL of hot extract. In the case of Arachnocampa, crude extracts were prepared according to the method described by Wood (9). After centrifugation the supernatant was divided into two aliquots. The cold extract was prepared by adding 1 mM ATP to one of the aliquots (final concentration) and incubating on ice for 18 h. The hot extract was prepared by heating the second aliquot at 98°C for 5 min and adding ATP to a concentration of 1 mM. The test involving mixing equal amounts of cold and hot extracts resulted in light emission (9).
DTT (10 mM in the assay buffer) increases significantly the emission intensity and photon yield from crude extracts of *Orfelia* (Fig. 2), whereas ATP has no effect, as previously reported (5). After the luminescence has decayed almost to the baseline, addition of DTT brings about a second burst of emission, but rising and decaying more slowly. An extract retains the capacity for DTT-stimulated emission even after the spontaneous emission from the sample has declined to less than 1% of the initial intensity. However, the peak intensity obtained from such a delayed addition of DTT never matches that obtained when DTT is present in a fresh extract from the start (Fig. 2; note the 10-fold difference in ordinate scale). Once an emission enhanced by DTT has decayed, a second addition is without effect. Among other reducing agents, ascorbate has the same effect as DTT, both qualitatively and quantitatively, whereas NADH and CoA have qualitatively similar but weaker effects. In contrast, FMNH$_2$ and reduced form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) are inactive, as is 2-mercaptoethanol, contrary to the early report that it enhanced the luminescence of crude extracts (T. Hopkins, personal communication to J. M. Bassot [5]).

Mixing hot and cold extracts indicates a luciferin–luciferase reaction. After the emission of a crude extract containing DTT has decayed to ~10% of peak intensity, addition of a heat-treated extract (5–10 min at 95°C under argon, with 10 mM DTT) causes an immediate increase in emission. Successive additions result in similar responses with rapid onsets and slower decays (Fig. 3). The activity can be attributed to a heat-stable luciferin, and the decay of luminescence can be interpreted as resulting from luciferin loss via the bioluminescence reaction or auto-oxidation (or both). Although DTT must be added prior to the heat treatment, the activity of hot extracts is not simply the result of their DTT content because

1. DTT is already present in the cold extracts;
2. the onsets of emission resulting from additions of hot extracts are immediate, in contrast with the slow-rising peaks that follow addition of DTT;
3. successive additions of hot extracts bring about successive responses; and
4. hot extracts prepared without DTT, but to which DTT is added after the heat treatment, are ineffective. These results suggest that DTT and other reducing agents act by releasing a heat-stable luciferin from a substrate-binding fraction, making it available to the luciferase.

Two proteins may participate in the light reaction. When crude extracts were passed through molecular filters of 3, 10, 30 or 100 kDa, the bioluminescence activity was always retained on the filter, and mixing the filtrate with the retentate did not stimulate its luminescence. In order to isolate and characterize the high molecular mass components, crude extracts were subjected to Sephacryl S-300 chromatography (Fig. 4; similar results were obtained with an S-400 column [data not shown]). Activity was always obtained by addition

**Figure 1.** In vitro bioluminescence spectra of *O. fultoni* and *A. flava.*

**Figure 2.** *Orfelia* bioluminescence in vitro. Luminescence obtained after adding 10 μL of crude extract to 90 μL of 0.1 M Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8) in the absence (right ordinate) or presence (left ordinate) of 10 mM DTT. In the assay started without DTT, this component was added later when the luminescence had decayed to less than 10% of the original intensity.

**Figure 3.** *Orfelia* bioluminescence in vitro. Effect of successive additions of 10 μL hot extract to 90 μL of the assay mixture containing cold crude extract and 10 mM DTT after the luminescence has decayed.

**Figure 4.** Gel filtration chromatogram of *Orfelia* crude extract using Sephacryl S-300. Luciferase-containing fractions were identified by light emission obtained by mixing with hot extract (substrate). A substrate binding fraction (SBF) was identified by mixing fractions with luciferase pooled fractions 20–40.
of hot extract to fractions between 20 and 40, with maximum activity corresponding to a molecular weight of ~140 kDa, suggesting that the protein in these fractions might be luciferase; sometimes a weak activity was observed from fractions between 10 and 50 without addition of hot extract. On the basis of the hypothesis that the luciferin might be protein-bound in crude extracts, all fractions were tested for activity in assays with luciferase pooled from fractions 20 to 40. This resulted in the identification of active fractions (~5–15) near the void volume, which we tentatively call the substrate-binding fraction (SBF).

On sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-Page), the luciferase fractions appeared as two bands of ca 70 kDa, not as a single 140 kDa band, suggesting that luciferase is a heterodimer. The kinetics of the emission that results from mixing the luciferase fractions with hot extracts are similar to those resulting from mixing cold and hot extracts. Also, as in that case, when the luminescence from such an assay has decayed, it can be restored by a new addition of hot extract even after 45 min. In contrast, adding more luciferase has no effect.

The kinetics of the luminescence resulting from mixing luciferase and SBF depends on the time of addition of DTT (Fig. 5). Its addition after the reaction has started gradually increases the luminescence in a kinetics pattern similar to that observed when DTT is added to a crude extract (Fig. 2). By themselves, SBF fractions emit no light, with or without DTT. But if SBF is allowed to stand at room temperature in the presence of DTT for 5–10 min, and luciferase is then added, an immediate and rapid rise of emission follows. These results are a clear indication that DTT, and most likely the other active reducing agents, act by releasing a luciferin from an association with a high molecular weight component, thus allowing it to react with luciferase. Characterization of luciferin is in progress; firefly α-luciferin and Arachnocampa luciferin (see later) were found to be inactive.

An intermediate complex between luciferase and luciferin appears to be formed and to accumulate in the absence of oxygen (Fig. 6). The reaction was started by adding hot extract containing DTT to purified luciferase. After 20 min, when the emission intensity was still increasing, the solution was purged with argon, causing a prompt drop in intensity. When the emission had decreased to the baseline, air was rapidly readmitted, bringing up an immediate high peak followed by a slow decay (Fig. 6). The slowly increasing intensity during the first 20 min of aerobic reaction must, therefore, reflect the slow buildup of a luciferase–luciferin complex, which can build up to an even higher level in the absence of oxygen. This complex is then poised for immediate reaction with oxygen, and the kinetics of the decay reflect the rate constant of that reaction or of a subsequent step.

**Arachnocampa bioluminescence**

*Biluminescence in vitro*. Although the bioluminescence of *Arachnocampa* appears brighter in vivo than that of *Orfelia*, the opposite is true in crude extracts. The peak intensity from the extract of one larva is of the order of $10^7$–$10^9$ photons/s, and thus one or two orders of magnitude lower than from *Orfelia* extracts. As mentioned in the introduction, the emission is redshifted from that of *Orfelia*, peaking at 484 nm (Fig. 1).

The weak blue-green emission of crude extracts is not enhanced by DTT or ascorbic acid, contrary to that of *Orfelia*, but is readily stimulated by MgATP. Ascorbic acid decreases the decay rate. A luciferin–luciferase reaction can be readily demonstrated by mixing hot and cold extracts, as previously described (9), or by mixing luciferase partially purified by ammonium sulfate precipitation with hot extracts in the presence of ATP.

**Luciferin isolation, Arachnocampa luciferin** was isolated from crude extracts by ethyl acetate extraction under acidic conditions. On TLC plates, this luciferin migrates with $R_f$ 0.68 for a ratio 3:5:2 of the same solvents. The fluorescence of the active fraction is purple (emission $\lambda_{max}$, 415 nm with excitation at 290 nm).

**Luciferase isolation: only one protein is involved in the light reaction**. Only one protein fraction, having an estimated molecular mass of 36 kDa, was isolated from crude extracts of *Arachnocampa* by gel filtration with Sephacryl S-400 (Fig. 7). Mixing this luciferase fraction with luciferin and ATP resulted in an emission with rapid onset and a kinetically complex decay of approximately first order only during the first phase (Fig. 8). Varying the luciferase concentration did not affect the decay rate, and the concentration...
of ATP has been previously reported to have no effect on the decay rate either (7). However, further additions of fresh luciferase after the luminescence has decayed to about 10% of its maximum value result in luminescence responses similar to the initial one (Fig. 8). In contrast, adding fresh luciferin at the same timepoint has only a very slight stimulatory effect. This is exactly the opposite of what was observed in the case of Orfelia. Orfelia luciferase appears to turn over because more luciferin brings new emission (Fig. 3), whereas Arachnocampa luciferase apparently does not. CoA and FMNH, have no effect on the light reaction, whereas pyrophosphate inhibits it.

DISCUSSION

In spite of the relatedness of these two Diptera and their similar appearances, habitat and behavior, it is clear that the bioluminescence systems of Orfelia and Arachnocampa are completely different, both from morphological and biochemical points of view.

Orfelia's system is novel. It involves a high molecular fraction (>500 kDa), dubbed SBF, whose function is inferred to be the protection of luciferin both from autoxidation and from reacting with luciferase, itself a protein of ca 140 kDa, possibly a heterodimer. It may be mentioned that a luciferin-binding protein in the dinoflagellate Lingulodinium polyedrum plays such a dual function for dinoflagellate luciferin (12). In the case of Orfelia, DTT appears to release luciferin from SBF (see Figs. 2 and 5). How this is achieved is not clear. It cannot result from the cleavage of disulfide bridges because ascorbic acid and, to a lesser extent, other reductants have the same effect as DTT, whereas 2-mercaptoethanol does not. In any case, it is depletion of luciferin that causes the decay of luminescence because adding hot extract restores the luminescence (Fig. 3). This luciferin is very unstable and has not yet been characterized.

There are some intriguing similarities between Orfelia bioluminescence and that of Pholas dactylus, which also involves two proteins, a luciferase that contains two copper atoms and pholasin, a protein whose chromophore (of still unknown structure) can be regarded as the true luciferin (13). Pholasin alone can emit luminescence if exposed to superoxide. In the luciferase-catalyzed reaction of pholasin, ascorbic acid behaves as a strong activator (14), as it does in the case of Orfelia. This effect is attributed to a recycling mechanism involving oxidation-reduction of the copper ions of luciferase (15). However, it seems unlikely that reducing agents act via a similar mechanism in the case of Orfelia.

The bioluminescence system of Arachnocampa comprises a relatively small luciferase (ca 36 kDa) and a luciferin separable on TLC and fluorescing at 410 nm. Although ATP acts as an activator, as it does in beetle bioluminescence, there is no cross-reaction between the two systems, as already reported (7), or between the Arachnocampa and Orfelia systems. Attempts to demonstrate a cross-reaction of Arachnocampa luciferase with firefly antiluciferase on Western blots were unsuccessful. The bioluminescence of Arachnocampa is not activated by CoA, nor is it stimulated, as in the firefly case, by pyrophosphate after the luminescence has decayed. Addition of more luciferase after the luminescence of Arachnocampa has decayed restores it (Fig. 8), suggesting product inhibition of luciferase, as in the firefly system (16).

The bioluminescent systems of the millipede Luminodesmus sequoiae (17,18) and the firefly squid Watasenia scintillans (19) are also stimulated by ATP. In the millipede L. sequoiae the mechanism of ATP activation remains unknown. In the case of the firefly squid, ATP serves to phosphorylate adenylsulfate to give 3'-phosphoadenosine-5'-phosphosulfate, which in turn is used to sulfurylate coelenterazine and produce its active form. We suspect that ATP activation in the Arachnocampa system is not through the formation of an acyl-adenylate intermediate such as that which occurs in beetles.

To conclude, the anatomical and histological characteristics of the light organs of Orfelia and Arachnocampa already suggested that their bioluminescent systems are different, and indeed they involve different luciferases and luciferins and altogether different reaction conditions. To our knowledge this is the first case of two closely related species belonging to the same family that use distinct bioluminescence systems.

Acknowledgements—T.W. is most grateful to Jean-Marie Bassot for attracting her attention to Orfelia, which started this work. We thank Anne Goldizen, Alan Goldizen and David Merritt of the University of Queensland (Brisbane, Australia) and their coworkers for showing us the spectacular displays of Arachnocampa in Springbrook National Park, for collecting larvae for us and for many discussions. We are grateful to our colleagues here, Deb Robertson, Liyun Liu and Liming Shao, for helping us to collect Orfelia larvae, and especially Tom Fagan who collected both Orfelia and Arachnocampa.
and commented on our results, to John Sivinski, Department of Agriculture, Gainesville, FL, for advice and discussions and to Bob Fulcher of the Cumberland Trail State Park (Tennessee) for his hospitality and expert guidance in Pickett State Park (Tennessee). We acknowledge collecting permits from the Shenandoah National Park (Virginia), the Pickett State Park (Tennessee) and the Springbrook National Park (Queensland, Australia). We are very grateful for the hospitality at the Highlands Biological Station (Highlands, NC). This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (MCB-9982880).

REFERENCES