## 1924

# Notes on the types of Mycetophilidae (Diptera) described by STAEGER and ZETTERSTEDT.

 $B_{\lambda}$ 

F. W. EDWARDS.

Published by permission of the Trustees of the British Museum.)

In spite of the large amount of work published in recent years upon the fungus-gnats of Europe by DZIEDZICKI, LUNDSTRÖM and LANDROCK, the nomenclature of the family is still in a somewhat unsettled state owing to the fact that no critical study has been made of the collections of the older describers. It has been the writer's endeavour to rectify this, and during a visit to Scandinavia in the summer of 1923 the opportunity was taken of studying the collections of STAEGER and ZETTERSTEDT, in the university museums of Copenhagen and Lund. For facilities generously granted the writer is deeply indebted to Dr. W. LUNDBECK and Dr. SIMON BENGTSSON,

#### I. Zetterstedt's Collection.

The diptera described by ZETTERSTEDT are included in two distinct collections, both of which are in the museum of the Zoological institution at Lund. These collections are supposed to have formed the bases of ZETTERSTEDT'S two works, *Insceta Lapponica* and *Diptera Scandinaviae*. Unfortunately at the time of his visit the writer was not aware of the existence of the former collection, and studied only the latter. Some types may have been missed on this account, but probably very few, because it would seem that ZETTERSTEDT himself transferred nearly all the actual types of Nematocera to the *Diptera Scandinaviae* collection: these can in most cases be identified with certainty, because full data are given on the labels in ZETTERSTEDT's own handwriting. All but a few of the types are still in existence in a fair state of preserva-

tion, though as might be expected some of the more fragile specimens have suffered damage. Some of these noted as missing in the following pages may perhaps be in the *Insecta lapponica* collection. The specimens were examined in the dry state only: in a few cases exact determination can only be made after the preparation of balsam mounts.

It was a matter for some surprise to discover that many of ZETTERSTEDT's descriptions were somewhat carclessly compiled, often from a mixed series of 'specimens.

Bolitophila bimaculata 2 7 2 2. agree with LANDROCK's definition.

Ceroplatus humeralis. Type agrees with LUNDSTRÖM's determination.

C. atricornis. Type S = Platuyura semirufa var. signata WINN.

C. flavus. I  $\sigma'$  I  $\mathcal{P} = Symmerus$  annulatus.

Platyura lugubris. 2 of. Distinct from other species known to me. An almost reaching the margin, though faint apically. R4 extremely short, vertical. Sc ends immediately before the base of Rs. First segment of front tarsus equal to or very slightly longer than the tibia. Hypopygium with the ninth tergite large, narrowed apically: two pairs of small, finger-like, bare claspers, somewhat as in P. fasciata LATR.

P. rostrata. Type of (Ostrogothia) is not the species I have figured as Asindulum rostratum: it is much larger than the British form, has a shorter proboscis, relatively longer palpi, and three well-marked blackish stripes on the mesonotum: there are also differences in the hypopygium. The specimen ZETTERSTEDT refered to as var. b is different, and is probably the same as our British form, though the abdomen is missing. My A. rostratum is really the same as LUNDSTROM'S A. flavum, his figures of the male structures being more accurate.

P. ruficornis. 2  $\sigma^{\lambda}$  (Esperod) = P. pectinifera EDW.

P. zonata. Type damaged, but probably = concisa WALK. as previously suggested by me.

Sciophila cinerascens. Agrees with DZIEDZICKI's interpretation.

S. diluta. Type  $\mathcal{P}$  has 4 scutellar bristles, f Cu below base of Rs: first segment of front tarsus as long as the tibia.

S. dissimilis. Only part of one wing remains, but enough to show that the species is a *Tetragoneura* allied to but distinct form *T. sylvatica* CURT., the cubital fork being narrow towards the base.

S. fasciata. Type missing. A ♂ from Undersåker is perhaps M. livida Dz.

11 - 24491. Entomol. Tidskr. Arg. 45. Hatt. 4 (1924).

- S. flavicollis. Type  $\mathcal{P}$  fragmentary. Possibly the  $\mathcal{P}$  of M, ruficollis Zett, but first front tarsal segment a little shorter than the tibia.
  - S. geniculata. Only fragments.
- S. griseovittata. Type  $\mathcal{P}$  has 3 scutellar bristles (2 on one side, 1 on the other): f cn before base of Rs: first segment of front tarsus slightly shorter than the tibia.
- S. guttiventris. Type  $\sigma$  is a *Polylepta*, apparently identical with *P. undulata* WINN.
  - S. incisurata. Types agree with DZIEDZICKI's figures. S. limbatella. Type of (Lärketorp) is S. sharpi Edw.
  - S. melanogaster. Type of in good condition: evidently the of of
- S. vittiventris described on the previous page. All coxae simple. Hypopygium as in *Mycomyia clegans* (LUNDSTR.), which is doubtless synonymous.
- S. nigricornis. Type  $\mathcal{O}$  (Mulfjället) is apparently M. ornata (MG.) Dz.: the  $\mathcal{O}$  from Löfirk is another species, but is damaged and indeterminable. The species figured by LUNDSTRÖM as S. nigricornis is different, and may be renamed M. melanoceras. Dziedzicki's M. nigricornis is also quite different, and may be named M. pectinifera.
- S. nitida. Type  $\mathcal{P}$  Arbrå. Differs from *M. incisurata* in the venation, from being distinctly beyond r-m.
- S. notata. Resembles Myconyia marginata MG., but distinct by venation, Sc curving into R just beyond the small cell.
  - S. pilosula. Missing.
  - S. pubescens. Missing.
- S. ruficollis. Mid coxae with long spur. So curving down to the middle of small cell: f cu well before base of r-m. Scutellum with 2 bristles (?). First segment of front tarsus as long as the tibia. Hypopygium small and hidden.
- S. sororcula. Type  $\varphi$  belongs to the *fasciata* group, but indeterminable without  $\varphi$ .
- **S.** trilineata. Type of (Hernösand) and another agree with DZIEDZICKI's figures.
  - S. trivittata. Missing.
- S. univittata. Type has lost abdomen: may be a variety of M. trilincata (ZETT).
- S. zonata. Type  $\sigma$  has lost abdomen: it is a *Polylepta*, apparently distinct from *P. guttiventris* by the venation, *M.* being faint towards the base, f Cu just beyond the tip of Sc, and  $Sc_2$ , about the middle of the small cell. As in the other species of this group, the middle tibiae have a conspicuous swelling at the base, the dorsal surface of which is covered with fine pubescence.

Leia apicalis. Belongs to *Leia* s. str. (*Rondaniella*): probably the same as MEIGEN's *L. dimidiata* (= terminalis).

- L. brevicornis. 1  $\circlearrowleft$  2  $\circlearrowleft$  = Mcgophthalmidia crassicornis (CURTIS).
  - L. crucigera. Missing.
  - L humeralis. Type  $Q = Boletina \ basalis \ MG$ .
  - L. marklini. Missing.
  - L. nigra. Type  $\delta = Boletina basalis$  MG.
  - L. posticata. Apparently identical with L. picta MG.

Boletina borealis. 1 of (Mulfjället) and 1 of (Tärna), both agreeing with Lundström's figures.

- B. brevicornis. 2  $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$  only present, therefore indeterminable.
- B. consobrina. Type  $\mathcal{O}$  (Skalstugan) =  $\mathcal{B}$ . winnertzi Dz. (cincticornis WALK.),
- B. tenella. 2 o' (Mulfjället) = Coclosia flavicanda WINN.

B. unifurcata. Missing.

Gnoriste bilineata. Type ♀ damaged but recognisable. The rostrum is very long, two-thirds as long as the wing.

**G.** trilineata. As suggested by ZETTERSTEDT, this is most probably the  $\mathscr{O}$  of G. bilineata. It differs from G. apicalis MG. in the longer rostrum and rather differently-shaped clasper.

Pachypalpus cinereus. 1  $\mathcal{P}(\text{Westo}) = Cordyla\ crassicornis\ MG.$  Cordyla canescens. Type  $\mathcal{P} = Allodia\ crassicornis\ (STN.)$ .

Mycetophila alternans. Type missing. A male labelled Bjorn apparently agrees with my determination.

- M. aterrima. Two specimens: genus *Delopsis*, not *Epicypta* as supposed by Strobl, but distinct from *D. scataphora* (PERRIS).
- M. atricauda. Type of agrees with Lundström's description, so far as can be seen without mounting.
- M. brachycera. Type  $\sigma' = Rhymosia$  cristata STAEG. The species called by LUNDSTRÖM Allodia brachycera is apparently the same as Staeger's M. proxima.
- M. canescens. Type  $\emptyset$  in good condition. A large species of Allodia, differing from the other known species of the genus and approaching Exechia in having f Cu slightly beyond f M. Mesonotum without strong bristles except at the margin. Two scutellar bristles: three propleural. Front tarsi simple. Claws with a long tooth near the base. Hypopygium large, resembling that of A. griscicollis LUNDSTR.
- M. cinerea. Abdomen missing; wing closely resembles M. dimidiata STAEG.
  - M. exigua. Type  $\sigma' = Phoronia rustica$  Dz.
- M. ferruginea. Type  $\mathcal{P} = Dynatosoma$  sp., probably identical with D. rufcscens (se note below).
  - M. fissicauda. Type od in good condition: it is not the

species identified by Lundström, but = Trichonta claripennis LUNDST. LUNDSTRÖM'S T. fissicanda may be renamed T. adunca.

ENTOMOLOGISK TIDSKRIFT 1924.

M. flexuosa. Type  $\mathcal{P}$  apparently = Dynatosoma fuscicorne MG.

M. fuscula. of ? without abdomen: Allodia sp. with three propleural bristles, f Cu only just before f M.

M. grisea. Type  $(\mathscr{O}, \text{ not } \mathcal{P}) = M$ . fungorum (DEG.).

M. griseola. Types may be the same as Allodia griscicollis LUNDSTR. (nec STAEG.). ZETTERSTEDT's name may perhaps be used for this species in order to avoid the introduction of a new term.

M. interrupta. Type of agrees with Exechia interrupta of Dziedzicki's Atlas (\*- Ex. serpentina Lundst.).

M. lucidula. Agrees with LUNDSTRÖM's Exechia lucidula.

M. lutescens. Probably a pale specimen of the ♀of Dynatosoma rufescens, though it may be the \$\text{9} of \$D\$, thoracica.

M. melanopyga. Type mouldy and cannot be properly examined without mounting, but may be LUNDSTRÖM'S Trichonta melanopyga.

M. nigricollis. The o' from Lund should be taken as the type: this is Allodia longicornis (WALK.): a second of from Hofverberget is A. truncata EDW. The species I have figured as A. nigricollis is A. grata (MG.). I would suggest reviving the name ornaticollis MG. for longicornis WALK.

M. nigricornis. Probably LUNDSTRÖM's Phronia nigricornis, but too mouldy for proper examination.

M. nigricoxa. Agrees with Dynatosoma reciprocum (WALK.).

M. obscurella. Missing.

M. obsoleta. Very similar to M. cziscki LANDR, in wing markings, but colour of thorax different.

M. ochracea. 2 = Exechia pallida STAN.

M. parvula. Missing.

M. rufescens. There is a 3 in the collection from Smoland which agrees with DZIEDZICKI'S M. rufescens, but the type is the specimen from Lycksele, which is a o' (not \$\partial \text{ as Zetterstedt supposed) Dynatosoma. In August 1923, in the grounds of the Stockholm Museum, I observed an orange-coloured Dynatosoma ovipositing on the under side of a fungus (Polyporus sulphurcus) growing on an oak tree. The specimen was secured, together with a portion of the fungus bearing the eggs, and soon after my return to England at the end of August a number of adults of both sexes hatched out. These showed some sexual dimorphism, the female having the abdomen uniformly orange, while in the male it is mostly black. Zetterstedt's M. ferriginea therefore proves to be the  $\mathcal{P}$  of his M. rufescens; probably M. lutescens is also the same species, though it may be the female of M. thoracica. The

species of Mycctophila identified by WINNERTZ and DZIEDZICKI as M. rufescens must be known as M. ornata STEPH.

M. spinicoxa. Type = Allodia crassicornis STAN.

M. thoracica. A species of Dynatosoma, distinct from D. rufescens by the shorter and less spiny male claspers.

M. unimaculata. Missing,

M. uninotata. Type is a  $\mathcal{O}$  (not  $\mathcal{P}$ ) M. lincola MG.

M. vittipes. No od in the series

#### II. STAEGER'S Collection.

The Diptera of STAEGER's collection form part of the general collection of Danish Diptera in the University Zoological Museum at Copenhagen. The collection was re-arranged about 1880 by Hansen, and all original labels destroyed. Consequently, when there are a number of specimens representing a species it is not always easy to tell which were STAEGER'S types. However the collection is believed to contain practically the whole of STAEGER's material, and it would seem that in the case of Nematocera very few additions have been made since his time. The specimens are for the most part in perfect condition, a fact which helps to make up for the unfortunate lack of data on the labels.

Macrocera fascipennis. 1 of agrees with LANDROCK's definition.

Platyura brunnipennis.  $7 \ P$ . semirufa Mg. (dark form): not the species figured by LUNDSTRÖM as P. brunnipennis.

P. dorsalis. I o', agrees with that figured by me as dorsalis. P. pallida. I  $\sigma'$  (type?) and I  $\sigma'$  (var. b.) both = aestivalis

Winn.; also 4 \( \frac{1}{2} \).

P. unicolor. I  $\emptyset$  I  $\mathcal{L} = P$ . discolor MG. (unicolor EDW.)

Sciophila affinis. 4 & Mycomyia trilineata (ZETT.) (or closely allied species); I of M. incisurata (ZETT.). The species figured by Dziedzicki as S. affinis must be known as M. funbriata (MG.).

S. circumdata. 1 of 3 ? Mycomyia Incorum (WINN.).

S. halterata. Type is  $\mathcal{L}$ , not  $\mathcal{L}$  as stated.

S. notabilis. 2 \(\varphi\), Mycomyia sp.: four scutellar bristles: f Cu before base of r-m: front tibia shorter than the first tarsal segment.

S. thoracica. 2 of 1 \(\frac{1}{2}\). Sciophila (Lasiosoma): very distinct by the hypopygial structure; The ninth tergite is very short, only about half as long as the side pieces; the claspers bear ventrally only a single very long spine with slightly swollen tip. The species figured as L. thoracica in DZIEDZICKI's atlas is quite different, and may be renamed S. dziedzickii.

167

Boletina anomala. 1 - 2 Azana. Posterior coxae dark at base and tip,

B. dubia. Apparently = B, plana WALK. The name dubia is in any case preoccupied by Meigen.

B. flava. 1  $\beta$  4 \( \frac{1}{2}\) Coclosia flava auct.

B. nigricoxa. Several specimens;  $\sigma'$  hypopygium agrees with Landström's figure; Landrock's figures apparently represent a different allied species. I have previously given Mycctophila cincticornis Walk, as a synonym of B. migricoxa, but a more careful examination of Walker's type shows that it is really B. minnertzi Dz. The two species are very closely allied, but apart from the blackish coxae and base of antennae, B. migricoxa shows some slight differences from B. minnertzi in the hypopygium.

B. sciarina. The series includes some B, sciarina in DZIED-ZICKI's sense, and also some B, gripha DZ.

Pachypalpus brevicornis.  $2 \circ 2$ , agreeing with my figures (in course of publication).

P. flaviceps. 4  $\mathcal{O}$ , alike in coloration but structure of claspers apparently representing two if not three species. I have figured elsewhere the form which I would select as the type.

P. semiflavus.  $8 \circ 1 \circ 1$ , agreeing with my figures.

Mycetophila abdominalis. Type is a  $\emptyset$  (not  $\Psi$ ) *Dynatosoma*, quite distinct in coloration and structure of hypopygium, which is very small, with short almost triangular claspers.

M. bicincta. I  $\mathcal{O}$  Exechia interrupta ZETT. (serpentina LUNDST.): also I  $\mathcal{P}$ . LUNDSTRÖM'S Exechia bicincta being quite a different species must be renamed, and I would suggest the name E. dizona.

M. caudata. I  $\mathcal{O}$  M. (Opistholoba) caudata auct. I see no justification for retaining the genus Opistholoba.

M. cristata. 2 of 2 \( \frac{1}{2} \) Rhymosia cristata auct.

M. dimidiata. A long series, agreeing with the usual interpretation. The name however is preoccupied by *Leia dimidata* (MG.), which was first described as a *Mycctophila*, and the species must be known as *M. ocellus* WALK.

M. discicollis. 2  $\mathcal{P}$  Allodia sp., near A. sylvatica LANDR. but not determinable with certainty in the absence of the  $\sigma$ .

M. dorsalis. I of agreeing with LUNDSTRÖM's later determination: also several Q.

M. fuscipennis.  $2 \ \$  *Allodia* sp., Antennae rather swollen at the base, but cerci shorter than in *A. caudata* Winn. 3 propleural bristles: *f Cu* below base of *r-m*. Also  $1 \ \ \$  *Allodia* sp. (*lugens* group).

M. griseicollis. Three species are represented in the series: 2 of 1 + A. fissicauda Landst.; 1 of 1 + Allodia candata Winn.;

and 1 - Rhymosia sp. near *spinipes* WINX.: The first and last may be disregarded and the name applied to the second.

M. melanura. 4 & 5 \(\frac{1}{2}\). The hypopygium (unmounted) looks the same as in *Trichonta melanopyga* (ZETT.) Lundst.

M. minuta. 1 3 Trichonta sp.: also 1 3 Anatella sp. I would suggest restricting the name to the latter.

M. nana. 2 \(\precess Exechia lateralis LUNDSTR.\) (nec MG.).

M. paludosa. Type damaged: probably the same as Zygomyia notata STAN.

M. pictipennis. 1 ? Zyg. pictipennis auct.

M. proxima. Two males are *Allodia brachycera* LUNDSTR. (nec. ZETT.), and I would restrict the name to these. A third  $\emptyset$  is A. amuena WINN., and a fourth is yet another species of *Allodia*.

M. punctipes. 3 & 6 \( \text{Allodia crassicornis} \) STAN.

M. strigata. I  $\mathcal{O}$  3  $\mathcal{P}$  M. fuliginosa Dz. The  $\mathcal{O}$  was not mentioned in the description and cannot therefore be the type, but agrees with the  $\mathcal{P}$  in coloration and chaetotaxy.

M. submaculata. A long series, agreeing with WINNERTZ's description.

M. tarsata. orange Phronia crassifes WINN., also orange of other species of Phronia. The orange crassifes should be taken as the type.

M. trinotata. The type (a  $\mathcal{O}$  not  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is Mycctophila russata Dz. This discovery leaves Winnertz's Epicypta trinotata nameless: I would suggest calling it E. testata, in allusion to the shell-bearing habits of the larva.

M. trivittata. As typical examples there are 2 & Exechia trivittata EDW., and 1 & Exechia trisignata EDW., under »var. b» there is 1 & of each of these species.

M. vara. The series includes some Zygomyia vara and some Z. valida WINN.

M. venosa. I & Trichonta spinosa LUNDST.

M. vicina. Described from  $\varphi$  only, but series now contains  $\varphi$  2  $\varphi$  Exechia magnicauda LUNDST. A third  $\varphi$  is close to if not identical with E. tennicornis V. D. WULP: this last may have been the original type, but in view of the uncertainty the name need not be adopted.

## III. Summary of changes in nomenclature.

Usuat name.

Revised name.

Platyura pectinifera Edw. P. aestivalis Wann, P. unicolor Stary, Mycomyia affinis Dz. P. ruficornis ZETT.
P. pallida SAMEG.
P. discolor MG.
M. fimbriata MG.)

#### Usual name.

M. elegans Lundstr. M. lucorum WINN. M. nigricornis Dz. M. nigricornis Lundst.) Sciophila sharpi EDW. S. thoracica (Dz. Polyepta undulata Winn. Boletina winnertzi Dz. Exechia bicineta LUNDST. E. lateralis LUNDST. E. serpentina LUNDST. interrupta ZETT., Dz.) Allodia brachycera LUNDST. A. nigricollis EDW. A. griseicollis Lundst. A. caudata Winn. Trichonta clarifennis Lundst. T. fissicauda LUNDST. T. melanopyga LUNDST. T. spinosa Lundst. Phronia crassipes WINN. P. rustica Dz. Dynatosoma ferrugincum Zett. Mycetophila dimidiata STAEG. M. fuliginosa Dz. M. rufescens Winn., Dz. M. russata Dz.

Epicypta trinotata WINN.

### Revised name.

M. vittiventris Zett. M. circumdata (STAEG.) M. pectinitera nom, n. M. melanoceras nom. n. S limbatella Zett. S. dziedzickii nom, n. P. guttiventris Zett. B. cincticornis WALK. E. dizona nom. n. E. nana (STAEG.) E. bicincta (STAEG.) A. proxima (STAEG.) A. ornaticollis MG. A. griscola (Zett.) A. griseicollis Staeg. T. fissicauda (Zett.) T. adunca nom. n. T. melanura (STAEG.) T. venosa (Staeg.) P. tarsata (Staeg.) P. exigua (Zett.) D. rufescens (ZETT.)
M. ocellus WALK. M. strigata Staeg. M. ornata STPH. M. trinotata STAEG. E. testata nom. n.